End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Superintendent:	William H	H. Lupini, Ed.D.				
Evaluator:	Susan Wolf Ditkoff Summary representing the consensus of the full Brookline School Committee		of		Septer	nber 18, 2014
		Name		Signature		Date
Step 1: Assess Progres	ss Toward Goals	s (Complete page	3 first; check one f	or each set of goal[s].)		
Professional Practice	e Goal(s)	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	🗌 Met	Exceeded
Student Learning Go	oal(s)	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	🗌 Met	Exceeded
District Improvemen	t Goal(s)	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	🗌 Met	Exceeded

Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (Complete pages 4–7 first; then check one box for each standard.)

Indicators Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. For new superintendents, performance is on track to achieve proficiency within three years. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructional Leadership				
Standard II: Management and Operations				
Standard III: Family and Community Engagement				
Standard IV: Professional Culture				

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)

Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	🖂 Profici	ent 🗌 Exer		nplary	
Step 4: Rate Impact on Student L	earning (Check only one.)		Low	Moderate	High ⊠	

Step 5: Add Evaluator Comments

Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of *Exemplary*, *Needs Improvement* or *Unsatisfactory* or Impact on Student Learning rating of *high* or *low*.

Comments:

This document represents the Summary of the Brookline School Committee's evaluation of the Superintendent. It takes into account each of the individual School Committee member evaluations, nearly a dozen 1-1 interviews with principals and administrative leaders, as well as formal and informal input from over 100 community members and parents. The Public Schools of Brookline (PSB) continues to be a high performing school district that educates students with excellence, develops and retains highly qualified educators, and attracts hundreds of new families every year. In summary, Dr. Lupini's leadership over the past ten (10) years has moved the District significantly forward in terms of the PSB's core values and strategic educational priorities.

The most significant challenge facing the PSB has been the 35%+ enrollment growth across our K-8 schools since 2008. Over that time, Dr. Lupini has supervised a tremendously tight and carefully managed operating budget in conjunction with Deputy Superintendent Peter Rowe, resulting in a flat (or by some measures, decreased) average per-student cost over the past 5 years. From a capital perspective, Dr. Lupini and Mr. Rowe have supervised the carving out of ~50 new classrooms from every existing school building plus a schedule of new renovations and expansions (at the Runkle, Heath, Lawrence, and Devotion Schools), in collaboration with the Town of Brookline building department. Dr. Lupini has also supervised the increasingly tight assignment of students to classrooms, to squeeze out efficiencies without sacrificing pedagogical objectives and balance. This includes preserving both highly-prized community values as directed by the School Committee (such as walkable neighborhood schools, the desire for small class sizes, the desire for increased diversity through the METCO program, and the desire for siblings to be assigned to the same school)—as well as assignments that prioritize the educational needs of students based on special education, native language abilities, social environments, and other needs. The School Committee commends Dr. Lupini's leadership and ability to balance these competing objectives in a pedagogically effective and cost efficient manner—an extraordinary feat.

The School Committee also commends Dr. Lupini for moving the system forward in important instructional areas, in collaboration with Deputy Superintendent Jennifer Fischer-Mueller. The enrollment growth is a testament to the outstanding education that new families and residents expect from our system. Even with constrained revenues, this year saw significant improvements in our educational offering. To name just four examples: First, the administration successfully completed the PSB's new Strategic Plan in a highly collaborative, 18-month effort led by Dr. Fischer-Mueller with teachers, principals, parents, and non-parent community members—including three town-wide focus groups and interviews with national education experts and leaders. Second, the administration developed the building blocks of our emerging K-12 Technology Plan with feedback from the Town-wide Override Study Committee and significantly tightened relationships with the Town Informational Technology leadership. Third, they continued the rollout of the RETELL professional development initiative for teaching English Language Learners. As a final example, they advanced our important Literacy efforts (e.g., continued implementation of the Literacy Collaborative, the Benchmark Assessment System, programs for struggling learners such as Leveled Literacy Intervention, and important advances in nonfiction literacy through the Content Reading Initiative which was a successful joint effort of the PSB, the 21st Century Fund, and the Brookline Education Foundation—among other integrated initiatives).

The School Committee also commends Dr. Lupini, in collaboration with Assistant Superintendent Angela Allen, on continuing to hire highly qualified educators. We are fortunate that Brookline is able to attract outstanding educators such as our new Lincoln and Old Lincoln School principals, and we look forward to an inclusive and successful search for the Devotion School principal this fall. We are also extremely pleased to be moving forward on our shared priority to create an increasingly diverse District-wide and School-level team of leaders and educators; more progress needs to be made but we are quite grateful for the long-term vision that has enabled the Superintendent to attract and hire outstanding educational leaders this year. Finally, the School Committee commends Dr. Lupini for working collaboratively with Assistant Superintendent Karen Shmukler on advancing important special education services. Specifically, we note the work to educate Brookline students in Brookline by creating successful district-wide special education programs. Through Dr. Lupini's vision and Dr. Shmukler's leadership, more Brookline students are receiving high quality services here at home—effectively supporting the School Committee and Community's core value of inclusion. While not the overriding variable, cost effectiveness of special education services is an important factor in effective District leadership and we commend Dr. Lupini for consistently prioritizing and championing supports in regular education settings—from handwriting to social-emotional principles to early intervention—which prevent the need for costly special services while upholding our cherished values.

In addition to these achievements, a few key challenges face our District, and Dr. Lupini, this year.

In Brookline, we are grateful to have an active community that is firmly committed to excellence in public education. We are extremely fortunate in this respect, and we know that many communities can only aspire to our Town's level of support and engagement. It is a simple statement of fact that Brookline residents—parents, elected officials, and community members—are some of the most celebrated educational leaders in the Commonwealth and in the United States. The flip side of these blessings is that a remarkably large number of individuals expect an exceptionally high degree of communication and engagement on educational matters. Often the expectation is customized, detailed, and personal. Therefore, the School Committee

recognizes that the expectation for any Superintendent is high.

That said, a significant and vocal group of parents and community members desire better communication from the Superintendent. Without a commensurate growth in available revenues, the student growth has put a tremendous strain on our educators' ability to deliver on our instructional priorities, and we have heard clearly that some segments of the community are frustrated; a number of members feel they have not yet heard from Dr. Lupini a crisp, clear vision of where the system needs to go and what might happen if sufficient revenues remain unavailable to support the level of educational services that Brookline parents and taxpayers have become accustomed to. Clearly, improved communication and engagement with all constituencies—educators, parents, and community members—is a high priority. As it has in the past, the School Committee looks forward to Dr. Lupini's vision being articulated and built on the Strategic Plan priorities—at the District-wide and School Improvement Plan levels. We look forward to hearing this vision expressed through long-range budget priorities and having it guide our discussions of the various budget scenarios we will be contemplating this year, especially as the Board of Selectmen deliberate on Override ballot questions. We anticipate that this vision will encompass the near term and longer term, as well as operating and capital implications. Necessarily these implications will be built upon assumptions about important unknown variables, and we look forward to the discussion and planning for an uncertain and potentially volatile future. We also look forward to Dr. Lupini's leading us through a robust discussion of long-term Capital contingency plans even as the District continues to move Early Education into rented space and continues to carve out sub-optimal classrooms in our existing buildings, completes the Lawrence expansion, proceeds with the Devotion renovation project, re-opens the Old Lincoln School, awaits news of the potential Driscoll expansion project, and prepares for the

Further, it is increasingly clear that both Dr. Lupini and his direct reports (15 Principals and Senior Staff members) are themselves quite strapped for time given their own lack of supports due to enrollment growth. This means that Dr. Lupini has spent less time than he would like, and that we would expect, in buildings strengthening relationships with principals, teachers, and parents. This gap has been felt keenly by all stakeholders, and we know that Dr. Lupini is committed to changing this dynamic. It also means that critical areas of District-wide planning (such as the Technology Plan, program reviews such as Enrichment and Challenge Support, the results of our Coordinated Program Review, an aligned Guidance curriculum, an examination of and meaningful progress towards appropriate representation of students by race and other factors in our special education programs), as well as core functions such as Educator Evaluation and new Surveys of the Community and Parents which will provide critical feedback to our Principals, are at risk of not being articulated and implemented with the exceptionally high degree of quality that this community demands. We look forward to Dr. Lupini's leading us through a robust discussion in each of these areas, and helping us all to understand the resources in administration and programs that will be required to execute with high fidelity. We also look forward to hearing his vision for how the professional culture and development among educators can be maintained and improved throughout this period of staff growth.

Clearly, we are at an important point in this District's history. Despite these challenges, and there are many, the School Committee is highly optimistic about the future of the Public Schools of Brookline. We look forward to Dr. Lupini's leadership in the coming year—leadership that continues to deliver high quality instruction, management, and operations, and that successfully addresses the challenges we face as a district.



Goals should be SMART and include at least one goal for each category: professional practice, student learning, and district improvement. *Check one box for each goal.*

Goal(s)	Description	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	Exceeded
Professional Practice						
1	Focus on engagement and visibility at our schools. Specifically, I have designed a plan to ensure that I will have an opportunity to work more closely with teachers, as well as being able to observe and participate in their work in the classroom with our students		⊠			
Student Learning		I				
2	The development of a vision and plan for instructional technology. This document will focus on the needs of our educators and our broad range of students, including assistive technology, classroom practices, and supports for advanced learners. The evidence of this goal would include sharing regular updates of progress, including documentation, in ensuring that the plan is part of budget planning efforts for 2014-2015					
District Improvement						•
3	A long-term capital and operating financial plan, including the strategies adopted by the School Committee for dealing with our space issues, the staffing required to meet the needs of our student population, a review of central office staffing and organization, and a long- term technology plan					
4	Implement the short- and long-term space decisions necessary during the coming year, including the Lawrence modulars, the High School recommendations, and initial steps in the Devotion and Driscoll plans					

5	Implement first year components of the educator evaluation program for Unit A employees			
Other Goals (if any)				
6	Successfully negotiate successor collective bargaining agreements with Unit A, Unit B and paraprofessionals			
7	Successfully implement plans for state and local initiatives, including the PARCC pilot, RETELL, bullying prevention, and literacy.		×	

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



Check one box for each indicator and	indicate the overall standard rating below.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary		
I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measureable outcomes.								
	s in all settings reflect high expectations regarding on nalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, ne							
I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.								
I-D. Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions.								
I-E. Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.								
Overall Rating for Standard I (Check one.)	The education leader promotes the learning vision that makes powerful teaching and lea		ss of all s	taff by cul	tivating a	shared		
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	⊠ Proficient	[Exen	nplary			
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>): See above Examples of evidence superintendent might provide:								
Goals progress report Analysis of classroom walk-through data	· □ Analysis of staff evaluation data							

- Analysis of district assessment data Sample of district and school improvement plans and progress reports
- ig goals
- □ Student achievement data
- □ Analysis of student feedback
- Analysis of staff feedback

- Analysis of leadership lear Protocol for school visits
- Other:_____

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management and Operations



Check one box for each indicator and indicate the overall standard rating below.	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary			
II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs.							
II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.							
II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.							
II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.							
II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources.							
Overall Rating for Standard II (Check one.)The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling							
Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Proficient		🛛 Exen	nplary				
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): See above							
Examples of evidence superintendent might provide:							
Goals progress report Analysis of student feedback Relevant school committee meeting agendas/minutes/materials Budget analyses and monitoring reports Analysis of staff feedback agendas/minutes/materials Budget presentations and related materials Analysis of safety and crisis plan elements and/or incidence reports Analysis and/or samples of leadership team(s) schedule/agendas/materials Staff attendance, hiring, retention, and other HR data Other:							



Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement

Check one box for each indicator and	indicate the overall stand	lard rating below.			Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that to the effectiveness of the classroom to the classroom of the class			n and school community a	nd can contribute				
III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community.			learning and					
III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance.								
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.								
Overall Rating for Standard III (Check one.)								
Unsatisfactory	Needs Imp	provement		ient	[Exem	plary	
Comments and analysis (recomme See above Examples of evidence superintendent might		ting; required for ove	erall rating of <i>Exempla</i>	ary, Needs Impro	vement (or Unsati	sfactory)	:
 Goals progress report Participation rates and other data about sc family engagement activities 	hool and district Comm	ele district and school news nunications sis of school improvement		 ☐ Analysis of sur stakeholders ☐ Relevant school 		·		

- Evidence of community support and/or engagement
- Community organization membership/participation/ contributions
- Other:

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture



Check one box for each indicator and	indicate the overall standard rating below.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all.						
	t policies and practices enable staff members and s ents' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challen					
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates s	trong interpersonal, written, and verbal communicati	ion skills.				
IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice.						
	continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation ed in postsecondary education and become a respo					
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strat consensus throughout a district or	egies for responding to disagreement and dissent, or school community.	constructively resolving conflict and building				
Overall Rating for Standard IV (Check one.)	The education leader promotes the learning a sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective procession of the second sec	•		-	uring and	
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	⊠ Proficient	[Exen	nplary	
Comments and analysis (recomme See above Examples of evidence superintendent might	ended for any overall rating; required for ov	verall rating of Exemplary, Needs Impro	ovement (or Unsati	sfactory)	:
 Goals progress report District and school improvement plans and Staff attendance and other data 	reports School visit protocol and sample Presentations/materials for com Analysis of staff feedback		dership tear	n(s) agendas		ials

- Analysis of statt feedback
- Samples of principal/administrator practice goals
- Analysis of staff feedback
- Other: